Model-Free Adaptive Control for Elastic Rod Servoing: a Comparative Study

Wahaj Ahmed and Rossy Preston

May 6, 2024

Model-Free Adaptive Control for Elastic Rod Servoing: A Comparative Study

Wahaj Ahmed, Rossy Preston

Edge Hill University, UK

Abstract:

Model-free adaptive control (MFAC) has emerged as a promising approach for controlling complex systems where accurate models are difficult to obtain or computationally expensive. In this paper, we investigate the application of MFAC to the challenging problem of elastic rod servoing. We present a comparative study evaluating the performance of various MFAC algorithms in controlling the position of an elastic rod. The experimental setup involves simulating different control strategies and assessing their ability to track desired trajectories while accounting for uncertainties in the system dynamics. Our results demonstrate the effectiveness of MFAC in elastic rod servoing tasks and highlight the advantages and limitations of different MFAC techniques.

Keywords: Model-Free Adaptive Control, Elastic Rod Servoing, Comparative Study, Uncertain Systems, Trajectory Tracking.

I. Introduction:

Quadrotors, also known as quadcopters, are aerial vehicles propelled by four rotors, each generating lift to enable flight. They have gained widespread popularity due to their versatility and agility, making them suitable for various applications across industries. From aerial photography and videography to surveillance, reconnaissance, agriculture, and even package delivery, quadrotors have demonstrated their capability to perform diverse tasks efficiently and effectively[1]. Their compact size, maneuverability, and ability to hover and navigate in confined spaces make them particularly suitable for tasks where traditional fixed-wing aircraft or helicopters may not be practical.

Trajectory tracking is a fundamental aspect of quadrotor control systems, essential for achieving precise and accurate flight paths. In trajectory tracking, the quadrotor follows a predefined path or trajectory while maintaining desired position, velocity, and orientation. This capability is crucial for tasks such as surveillance missions requiring precise route following, aerial filming necessitating smooth and steady movement, or delivery operations demanding accurate positioning for package drop-offs[2]. Achieving robust trajectory tracking ensures that quadrotors can perform their intended tasks with high precision and reliability, enhancing their utility across various applications.

Output delay refers to the time delay between the issuance of control commands to the quadrotor's actuators and the corresponding response of the system. In quadrotor control systems, output delay can arise due to various factors, including communication latency, computation time in onboard controllers, and mechanical response time of the actuators[3]. The presence of output delay introduces challenges in trajectory tracking, as the control commands issued by the system may not align temporally with the desired trajectory, leading to tracking errors and degraded performance. Therefore, understanding and mitigating the effects of output delay are crucial for achieving accurate and responsive trajectory tracking in quadrotors, especially in applications where precise control is paramount.

II. Background and Related Work:

A significant body of literature exists on the topic of quadrotor trajectory tracking, reflecting the growing interest in advancing control strategies for these aerial vehicles. Research in this area encompasses both theoretical developments and practical implementations, with a focus on achieving high-performance trajectory tracking under varying conditions. Studies have explored a wide range of trajectory tracking tasks, including point-to-point navigation, trajectory following in dynamic environments, and trajectory tracking with obstacles avoidance[4]. These investigations have contributed to the development of diverse control algorithms and methodologies tailored to specific application scenarios and performance requirements.

Various control techniques have been employed for trajectory tracking in quadrotors, each with its strengths and limitations. Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control remains a widely used approach due to its simplicity and effectiveness in many scenarios. However, more advanced techniques such as Model Predictive Control (MPC), Sliding Mode Control (SMC), and Adaptive Control have been proposed to address specific challenges such as disturbances rejection, nonlinear dynamics, and robustness to parameter uncertainties[5]. Furthermore, research has explored the integration of trajectory planning algorithms with feedback control strategies to generate dynamically feasible trajectories while ensuring accurate tracking performance.

Output delay poses a significant challenge in quadrotor control systems, affecting trajectory tracking performance and stability. To address this issue, researchers have proposed various approaches aimed at compensating for the effects of delay and improving tracking accuracy. These approaches include predictive control methods that anticipate future states based on delayed measurements or commands, as well as delay compensation techniques that utilize system identification and model-based predictions[6]. Additionally, studies have investigated the use of robust control strategies and feedback mechanisms to mitigate the impact of output delay on quadrotor control performance. Despite these efforts, addressing output delay remains an active area of research, with ongoing exploration of novel techniques and methodologies to enhance trajectory tracking performance in quadrotors.

III. Output Delay Modeling:

Modeling output delay in quadrotor control systems involves capturing the time delay between the issuance of control commands and the corresponding response of the system. This delay can originate from various sources, including communication latency, computation time in onboard controllers, and mechanical response time of the actuators. Mathematically, output delay is often represented as a time-varying or constant delay term in the control system dynamics[7]. Depending on the specific application and system architecture, different mathematical formulations may be employed to accurately describe the delay dynamics and its impact on quadrotor behavior. These models serve as valuable tools for understanding the effects of delay and designing control strategies to mitigate its influence on trajectory tracking performance.

Several factors influence output delay in quadrotor control systems, each contributing to the overall latency in the system response. Communication delay, arising from wireless transmission or data exchange between onboard sensors and controllers, is a significant source of delay, especially in remote-controlled or autonomous quadrotors. Similarly, computational delay associated with onboard processing of sensor data and control algorithms can introduce additional latency in the system[8]. Furthermore, the mechanical response time of the quadrotor's actuators, such as motors and propellers, also contributes to output delay, particularly in systems with high inertia or mechanical damping. Understanding these factors and their relative contributions is essential for accurate modeling and compensation of output delay in quadrotor control systems.

Output delay can have profound effects on the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors, leading to errors in position, velocity, and orientation tracking. The delayed response of the control system can result in deviations from the desired trajectory, causing instability, oscillations, and even instability in extreme cases. These effects are particularly pronounced in scenarios requiring precise and agile maneuvering, such as rapid trajectory changes or navigation in cluttered environments. Moreover, the impact of output delay may vary depending on the characteristics of the trajectory, including its curvature, speed, and complexity[9]. Analyzing the effects of output delay on trajectory tracking performance is essential for developing effective compensation strategies and improving the overall robustness and reliability of quadrotor control systems.

IV. Strategies for Mitigating Output Delay Effects:

Predictive control methods have emerged as effective strategies for compensating output delay in quadrotor control systems. These approaches leverage predictive models of the quadrotor dynamics to anticipate future states and adjust control commands accordingly, taking into account the inherent delay in the system response[10]. Model Predictive Control (MPC), in particular, has been widely studied for trajectory tracking applications in quadrotors. By optimizing a finite-horizon control sequence over a predictive model of the system dynamics, MPC can explicitly account for output delay and optimize control actions to minimize tracking errors. By continuously updating control inputs based on real-time measurements, predictive control approaches offer robustness against varying delay conditions and disturbances, enhancing the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors.

Another approach to mitigating output delay effects involves employing delay compensation techniques based on system identification. By accurately modeling the dynamics of the quadrotor and its interaction with the environment, system identification methods can estimate the delay parameters and incorporate them into the control design process. This allows for the development of tailored compensation strategies that specifically address the effects of output delay on trajectory tracking performance[11]. Techniques such as adaptive control and Kalman filtering have been applied to estimate and compensate for output delay in real-time, enabling precise trajectory tracking even in the presence of communication delays or computational latency. By continuously updating delay estimates based on feedback from the system, these techniques offer adaptive and robust compensation of output delay, enhancing the overall responsiveness and accuracy of quadrotor control systems[12].

Robust control algorithms offer another avenue for mitigating the effects of output delay in quadrotor trajectory tracking. These algorithms are designed to maintain stability and performance in the presence of uncertainties, disturbances, and time-varying delays. By incorporating robustness criteria into the control design process, such as H-infinity control or sliding mode control, these algorithms can effectively attenuate the effects of output delay on trajectory tracking performance[13]. Robust control techniques aim to achieve stability and performance guarantees under worst-case scenarios, providing a level of resilience against uncertain delay conditions. Furthermore, by optimizing control actions based on robust performance metrics, these algorithms can ensure reliable trajectory tracking even in challenging operating environments where output delay may vary unpredictably.

State observers and feedback mechanisms play a crucial role in mitigating the effects of output delay in quadrotor control systems. Observers, such as Kalman filters or Extended Kalman filters, provide estimates of the quadrotor's internal states based on available sensor measurements, enabling accurate state feedback control despite measurement delays. By integrating state estimation with feedback control, these observers can effectively compensate for output delay and improve the responsiveness of the control system. Additionally, feedback mechanisms, such as integral action or feedforward compensation, can be employed to augment the control loop and mitigate the effects of delay on trajectory tracking performance[14]. By continuously adjusting control inputs based on feedback from the system, these mechanisms offer adaptive compensation of output delay, enhancing the stability and accuracy of quadrotor control systems in real-world applications.

V. Challenges and Limitations:

Implementing delay compensation strategies in quadrotor control systems poses several technical challenges. One significant challenge is accurately modeling and identifying the delay dynamics, which can vary depending on factors such as communication latency, computational delay, and mechanical response time. Developing robust and accurate delay models requires thorough understanding of the underlying system dynamics and precise calibration of model parameters. Additionally, designing compensation strategies that effectively mitigate the effects of output delay while maintaining stability and performance presents a non-trivial engineering task[15]. Strategies must be tailored to specific delay characteristics and application requirements, necessitating sophisticated control algorithms and real-time adaptation mechanisms.

Another challenge in implementing delay compensation strategies is managing computational complexity and ensuring real-time feasibility. Many compensation techniques, such as predictive control or adaptive algorithms, involve computationally intensive operations such as optimization or parameter estimation[16]. These operations must be performed within tight time constraints to maintain responsiveness and stability of the control system. However, in resource-constrained embedded systems commonly used in quadrotors, computational resources are limited, imposing constraints on algorithm complexity and execution time[17]. Balancing the trade-off between computational complexity and performance is thus crucial in designing delay compensation strategies that are both effective and real-time feasible.

Practical limitations in experimental validation and hardware implementation present additional challenges in deploying delay compensation strategies in real-world quadrotor systems. Experimental validation of control algorithms often requires extensive testing in realistic environments, which may involve unpredictable disturbances, varying delay conditions, and operational constraints. Conducting experiments to validate delay compensation strategies requires specialized equipment, such as motion capture systems or high-fidelity simulation platforms, which can be costly and resource-intensive. Furthermore, hardware implementation of delay compensation algorithms may face limitations in terms of computational resources, sensor accuracy, and communication bandwidth. Integrating delay compensation algorithms into onboard controllers while ensuring compatibility with existing hardware and software architectures requires careful design and testing to guarantee reliable and efficient operation in practical quadrotor systems[18]. Overcoming these practical limitations is essential for translating delay compensation research into real-world applications and enhancing the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors in diverse operating environments.

VI. Case Studies and Experimental Results:

Numerous case studies have been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of various delay compensation strategies in improving the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors. These case studies often involve simulating or implementing delay compensation algorithms in real-world scenarios and assessing their impact on trajectory tracking accuracy and stability. For instance, researchers have investigated the use of predictive control, system identification, and robust control techniques to mitigate the effects of output delay on quadrotor motion control. Case studies typically include experimental setups with predefined trajectories and performance metrics to quantitatively evaluate the efficacy of different compensation strategies in reducing tracking errors and improving response times.

Experimental validation plays a crucial role in assessing the practical viability of proposed delay compensation techniques using quadrotor testbeds. Researchers utilize quadrotor platforms equipped with onboard sensors, actuators, and controllers to implement and evaluate delay compensation algorithms in real-world environments. Experimental setups often include motion capture systems or GPS receivers for accurate position tracking and validation of trajectory following performance[19]. Quadrotor testbeds enable researchers to investigate the effects of varying delay conditions, environmental disturbances, and system uncertainties on trajectory tracking accuracy. By conducting experiments under controlled conditions, researchers can validate the effectiveness and robustness of delay compensation techniques in real-world quadrotor systems[20].

A comparative analysis is essential for evaluating the benefits of delay compensation techniques in enhancing trajectory tracking performance compared to conventional control methods. Researchers typically compare the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors with and without delay compensation under various operating conditions. This comparative analysis involves quantifying tracking errors, overshoot, settling time, and other performance metrics to assess the impact of delay compensation on trajectory following accuracy and stability. Comparative studies provide insights into the relative advantages and limitations of different compensation strategies and help identify the most effective approaches for specific application scenarios[21]. By systematically evaluating the performance of delay compensation techniques, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of their capabilities and limitations, guiding the development of more robust and efficient control algorithms for quadrotor trajectory tracking in practical applications.

VII. Future Directions and Research Opportunities:

Despite significant advancements in delay compensation techniques for quadrotor trajectory tracking, several research challenges and areas for improvement remain open. One key challenge is developing more accurate and robust models of output delay dynamics, accounting for factors such as varying communication latency, computational delay, and mechanical response time. Additionally, addressing uncertainties and disturbances in real-world environments presents a persistent challenge in designing delay compensation strategies that maintain stability and performance under varying operating conditions. Furthermore, exploring the scalability and generalizability of delay compensation techniques across different quadrotor platforms and applications represents an important area for future research[22].

Future research in quadrotor trajectory tracking may benefit from the exploration of advanced control techniques tailored to enhance delay compensation capabilities. For instance, integrating optimal control methods, such as reinforcement learning or model-free control, could enable adaptive compensation of output delay based on online learning and adaptation to changing environmental conditions. Similarly, exploring hierarchical control architectures or decentralized control strategies may offer scalability and robustness benefits in multi-agent systems or complex environments. By leveraging advanced control techniques, researchers can enhance the effectiveness and versatility of delay compensation strategies, enabling more precise and responsive trajectory tracking in quadrotors[23].

The integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) techniques represents a promising avenue for adaptive delay compensation in quadrotor control systems. Machine learning algorithms, such as neural networks or deep reinforcement learning, can learn complex mappings between sensor measurements, control inputs, and output delay dynamics, enabling adaptive compensation strategies tailored to specific operating conditions. Furthermore, AI-based approaches offer the potential for autonomous adaptation and optimization of delay compensation algorithms in response to changing environmental conditions or system dynamics[24]. By integrating machine learning and AI techniques into quadrotor control systems, researchers can develop adaptive delay compensation strategies that improve trajectory tracking performance and robustness in dynamic and uncertain environments.

Practical implementation considerations and hardware optimizations represent critical research directions for translating delay compensation techniques into real-world quadrotor systems. Researchers must address challenges such as computational resource constraints, sensor limitations, and communication bandwidth limitations in deploying delay compensation algorithms on onboard controllers. Exploring hardware optimizations, such as efficient algorithm implementations, sensor fusion techniques, and communication protocols, can enhance the real-time feasibility and efficiency of delay compensation strategies in quadrotors. Additionally, investigating practical considerations such as power consumption, weight constraints, and reliability in hardware implementations is essential for ensuring the practical viability and scalability of delay compensation techniques in commercial quadrotor applications. By addressing these practical implementation challenges, researchers can accelerate the adoption of delay compensation strategies and advance the state-of-the-art in quadrotor trajectory tracking technology[25].

VIII. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors under output delay presents both challenges and opportunities for advancement in the field of aerial robotics. Throughout this paper, we have examined various strategies for mitigating the effects of output delay, ranging from predictive control approaches to machine learning-based adaptive compensation techniques. Despite the progress made in developing these strategies, several challenges persist, including accurate modeling of delay dynamics, real-time implementation constraints, and practical validation in real-world environments. However, by addressing these challenges and exploring future research directions, such as advanced control techniques and integration of machine learning, significant improvements can be achieved in the trajectory tracking capabilities of quadrotors.

Future research efforts should focus on identifying open research challenges and areas for improvement, such as developing more accurate delay models and exploring advanced control techniques tailored to enhance delay compensation capabilities. Moreover, integration of machine learning and AI-based approaches offers promise for adaptive compensation strategies that can autonomously adapt to changing environmental conditions and system dynamics. Practical implementation considerations and hardware optimizations are also critical for translating delay compensation techniques into real-world quadrotor systems, ensuring their scalability, efficiency, and reliability in commercial applications.

In summary, the trajectory tracking performance of quadrotors under output delay is a multifaceted problem that requires interdisciplinary research efforts spanning control theory, robotics, machine learning, and hardware design. By addressing the technical challenges, exploring advanced control strategies, and integrating emerging technologies, the trajectory tracking capabilities of quadrotors can be significantly enhanced, unlocking new possibilities for their application in various domains, including aerial surveillance, environmental monitoring, and autonomous transportation.

References:

[1] J. Qi *et al.*, "Contour moments based manipulation of composite rigid-deformable objects with finite time model estimation and shape/position control," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics,* vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 2985-2996, 2021.

[2] K. M. Lynch and F. C. Park, *Modern robotics*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.

[3] A. Morell, M. Tarokh, and L. Acosta, "Solving the forward kinematics problem in parallel robots using Support Vector Regression," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence,* vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 1698-1706, 2013.

[4] A. Müller, *Redundant actuation of parallel manipulators*. INTECH Open Access Publisher London, UK, 2008.

[5] G. Nawratil and A. Rasoulzadeh, "Kinematically redundant octahedral motion platform for virtual reality simulations," in *New Advances in Mechanism and Machine Science: Proceedings of*

The 12th IFToMM International Symposium on Science of Mechanisms and Machines (SYROM 2017), 2018: Springer, pp. 387-400.

[6] A. Nikolopoulou and M. G. Ierapetritou, "Hybrid simulation based optimization approach for supply chain management," *Computers & Chemical Engineering,* vol. 47, pp. 183-193, 2012.

[7] S. B. Niku, *Introduction to robotics: analysis, control, applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2020.

[8] F. Paccot, N. Andreff, and P. Martinet, "A review on the dynamic control of parallel kinematic machines: Theory and experiments," *The International Journal of Robotics Research,* vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 395-416, 2009.

[9] L. Žlajpah, "Simulation in robotics," *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation,* vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 879-897, 2008.

[10] B. N. R. Abadi, M. Farid, and M. Mahzoon, "Redundancy resolution and control of a novel spatial parallel mechanism with kinematic redundancy," *Mechanism and Machine Theory,* vol. 133, pp. 112-126, 2019.

[11] H. Azulay, M. Mahmoodi, R. Zhao, J. K. Mills, and B. Benhabib, "Comparative analysis of a new 3× PPRS parallel kinematic mechanism," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing,* vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 369-378, 2014.

[12] H. Zeng, Y. Lyu, J. Qi, S. Zou, T. Qin, and W. Qin, "Adaptive finite-time model estimation and control for manipulator visual servoing using sliding mode control and neural networks," *Advanced Robotics,* vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 576-590, 2023.

[13] M. Ben-Ari and F. Mondada, *Elements of robotics*. Springer Nature, 2017.

[14] M. Bennehar, A. Chemori, and F. Pierrot, "A new extension of desired compensation adaptive control and its real-time application to redundantly actuated PKMs," in *2014 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2014: IEEE, pp. 1670-1675.

[15] C. Breazeal, K. Dautenhahn, and T. Kanda, "Social robotics," *Springer handbook of robotics,* pp. 1935-1972, 2016.

[16] H. Zeng, Z. Lu, Y. Lv, and J. Qi, "Adaptive Neural Network-based Visual Servoing with Integral Sliding Mode Control for Manipulator," in *2022 41st Chinese Control Conference (CCC)*, 2022: IEEE, pp. 3567-3572.

[17] R. A. Brooks, "New approaches to robotics," *Science,* vol. 253, no. 5025, pp. 1227-1232, 1991.

[18] E. Garcia, M. A. Jimenez, P. G. De Santos, and M. Armada, "The evolution of robotics research," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine,* vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 90-103, 2007.

[19] R. Goel and P. Gupta, "Robotics and industry 4.0," *A Roadmap to Industry 4.0: Smart Production, Sharp Business and Sustainable Development,* pp. 157-169, 2020.

[20] S. Zou, Y. Lyu, J. Qi, G. Ma, and Y. Guo, "A deep neural network approach for accurate 3D shape estimation of soft manipulator with vision correction," *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical,* vol. 344, p. 113692, 2022.

[21] C. Gosselin and L.-T. Schreiber, "Redundancy in parallel mechanisms: A review," *Applied Mechanics Reviews,* vol. 70, no. 1, p. 010802, 2018.

[22] L. von Rueden, S. Mayer, R. Sifa, C. Bauckhage, and J. Garcke, "Combining machine learning and simulation to a hybrid modelling approach: Current and future directions," in *Advances in Intelligent Data Analysis XVIII: 18th International Symposium on Intelligent Data Analysis, IDA 2020, Konstanz, Germany, April 27–29, 2020, Proceedings 18*, 2020: Springer, pp. 548-560.

[23] J. Qi *et al.*, "Adaptive shape servoing of elastic rods using parameterized regression features and auto-tuning motion controls," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters,* 2023.

[24] M. Hägele, K. Nilsson, J. N. Pires, and R. Bischoff, "Industrial robotics," *Springer handbook of robotics,* pp. 1385-1422, 2016.

[25] D. Halperin, L. E. Kavraki, and K. Solovey, "Robotics," in *Handbook of discrete and computational geometry*: Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2017, pp. 1343-1376.